



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner advised that claim 1 contains allowable subject matter and all dependent claims that depend from claim 1 would also be allowable, , specifically the claim limitations of claim 1:

"in response to the security event, causing the network device to acquire a second network address that is selected from a second subset of addresses within a second specified pool associated with suspected malicious network users; "

" wherein the security event, is an event that indicates at least one of: a possible denial of service attack, possible IP address spoofing, extraneous requests for network addresses, and possible MAC address spoofing."

is what is novel about applicants invention.

The examiner also noted to applicant that if the above limitations are amended into applicants other independent claims, then those independent claims and dependent claims that depend from the independent claim, would also be allowable.

Then the examiner suggested a examiners amendment, to expedite prosecution of the application to allowance, the applicant replied that the applicant would get back to examiner.

Then on 05/19/2009, applicant sent in through the examiners e-mail, by the examiners request, the amended claims that are to be used in the examiners amendment.